

Office Supreme Court, U. S.  
FILED

FEB 17 1927

IN THE  
WM. R. STANSBURY  
CLERK

## Supreme Court of the United States.

OCTOBER TERM—1926.

No. 180.

---

LEOPOLD ZIMMERMANN, *et al.*, co-partners doing business under the firm name and style of Zimmerman & Forshay, as brokers,

*Plaintiffs-Appellants,*  
—against—

HOWARD SUTHERLAND, as Alien Property Custodian of the United States; FRANK WHITE, as Treasurer of the United States; and the WIENER BANK-VEREIN, of Vienna, Austria,

*Defendants-Appellees.*

---

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT.


---

### BRIEF ON BEHALF OF APPELLANTS.

---

CHARLES E. HUGHES,  
JOSEPH M. HARTFIELD,  
HAMILTON VREELAND, JR.,  
*Solicitors and Counsel for Appellants.*

---



## SUBJECT INDEX.

	PAGE
Report of opinions below .....	1
The jurisdiction of this court .....	2
Statement of the case .....	3
The pleadings .....	3
The facts .....	6
Specification of assigned errors relied upon.....	10
Argument .....	12
Summary .....	12
 POINT I.—Plaintiffs are entitled to sue under Section 9 of the Trading With the Enemy Act whether or not the debt sued on was actually due and payable prior to Oct. 6, 1917.....	14
 POINT II.—The plaintiffs are entitled to recover of the defendants the value in dollars of the kronen deposit calculated at a pre-war rate of exchange	21
A.—Aside from treaty provisions.....	21
I.—An account was stated as of April 6, 1917 .....	25
II.—The contract between the plaintiffs and the defendant bank was terminated and dissolved by the inception of a state of war between the United States and Austria, at which time the right accrued to the plaintiffs to recover the deposit in an action for money had and received.....	28
(a) Prior to the outbreak of war the contract in suit was executory; the doctrine of <i>Hanger v. Abbott</i> does not apply....	28
(b) An executory contract such as that before the Court, between nationals of enemy countries, resident within their respective countries, is terminated by the outbreak of war .....	31

	PAGE
(e) There is nothing in the Treaty of Vienna, incorporating provisions of the Treaty of St. Germain, to prevent the recovery by plaintiffs of the kronen owed at a pre-war rate of exchange .....	44
<b>III.—There was a demand on or about March 25, 1919, by the filing of a claim with the Alien Property Custodian .....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>B.—Under treaty provisions .....</b>	<b>49</b>
Under the Treaty of August 24, 1921, between the United States and Austria, the plaintiffs below are entitled to have this Court apply the pre-war rate of exchange for United States dollars and Austrian kronen with interest at the rate of five per cent. per annum in determining the amount of the debt owing to the plaintiffs by the Austrian defendant .....	49
<b>POINT III.—There was a breach on or about August 6, 1919, following demand on defendant bank, if it should be held that an obligation to pay did not exist theretofore .....</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>POINT IV.—Interest should be allowed both under treaty and aside from treaty upon the indebtedness owing to the plaintiffs by the defendant bank from the due date of the principal amount.....</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>POINT V.—The deposit of depreciated kronen by the defendant bank with an Austrian court did not discharge the bank of its obligation to plaintiffs</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>POINT VI.—Analysis of the equities .....</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>CONCLUSION.—The decree of the Circuit Court of Appeals should be reversed .....</b>	<b>102</b>

## TABLE OF CASES CITED.

## A.

	PAGE
<i>Atlantic Communication Co. v. Zimmermann</i> , 182 App. Div. (N. Y.) 862 .....	40, 42, 43, 99

## B.

<i>Barry v. van den Hurk</i> , (1920) 2 K. B. 709.....	22
<i>Birge-Forbes Co. v. Heye</i> , 251 U. S. 317.....	22, 32
<i>Blythe v. Hinckley</i> , 173 U. S. 501 .....	50
<i>Briggs v. United States</i> , 143 U. S. 346 .....	32
<i>British American Continental Bank, In re</i> , (1922) 2 Ch. 589 .....	22
<i>Brown v. Hiatts</i> , 15 Wall. 177 .....	85, 87, 91

## C.

<i>Celia, The, v. The Volturno</i> , (1921) 2 A. C. 544, 20 A. L. R. 884, H. L. .....	22
<i>Chae Chau Ping v. United States</i> , 130 U. S. 581.....	50
<i>Clapham S.S. Co., Ltd. v. Naamloose, etc.</i> , (1917) 2 K. B. 639 .....	41

## D.

<i>Dante v. Miniggio</i> , 298 Fed. 845 .....	22
<i>Deutsche Bank v. Humphrey</i> , decided Nov. 23, 1926..	22, 23, 24
<i>X Doe v. Braden</i> , 16 How. 635 .....	70

## E.

<i>Edye v. Robertson (Head Money Cases)</i> , 112 U. S. 580.	50, 82
<i>Ertel Bieber &amp; Co. v. Rio Tinto Co.</i> , (1918) A. C. 260..	41

## F.

<i>Farrington v. Tennessee</i> , 95 U. S. 679 .....	29
<i>Fletcher v. Peck</i> , 6 Cranch 87.....	29
<i>Foster v. Neilson</i> , 2 Peters 253 .....	50

## G.

	PAG
<i>Georgia (State of) v. Brailsford</i> , 3 Dall. 1.....	50, 8
<i>Gravenhorst v. Zimmermann</i> , 236 N. Y. 22.....	43, 9
<i>Griswold v. Waddington</i> , 16 Johns. 438.....	3
<i>Grunwald v. Freese (Cal.)</i> , 34 Pac. 73.....	2
<i>Guinness v. Hicks</i> , 269 U. S. 71. 22, 23, 24, 28, 61, 86, 87, 89, 9	

## H.

<i>Hanger v. Abbott</i> , 6 Wall. 532 .....	28, 29, 3
<i>Harmony, The</i> , 2 C. Rob. 322.....	3
<i>Hauenstein v. Lynham</i> , 100 U. S. 483.....	50, 8
<i>Head Money Cases</i> , 112 U. S. 580 .....	50, 8
<i>Hicks v. Guinness</i> , 269 U. S. 71. 22, 23, 24, 28, 61, 86, 87, 89, 9	
<i>Higginson v. Mein</i> , 4 Cranch 414 .....	5
<i>Hopkirk v. Bell</i> , 3 Cranch 454 .....	5
<i>Hopkirk v. Bell</i> , 4 Cranch 164 .....	5
<i>Hoppe v. Russo-Asiatic Bank</i> , 235 N. Y. 37 .....	22

## J.

<i>Jones v. Walker</i> , 2 Paine 688 .....	50
<i>Joring v. Harriss</i> , 292 Fed. 974 .....	35, 36, 40

## K.

<i>Kershaw v. Kelsey</i> , 100 Mass. 561 .....	32
--	----

## L.

<i>Lessee of Pollard's Heirs v. Kibbe</i> , 14 Peters 353.....	50
--	----

## M.

<i>Manners v. Pearson &amp; Son</i> , (1898) 1 Ch. 581.....	22
<i>Mechanics Securities Corp. v. White</i> , 269 U. S. 283....	16, 67
<i>Miller v. Robertson</i> , 266 U. S. 243.....14, 84, 85, 87, 88, 89, 91	

## N.

<i>New York Life Ins. Co. v. Statham</i> , 93 U. S. 24.....	37, 42
---	--------

## V

## O.

## PAGE

<i>Ogden v. Blackledge</i> , 2 Cranch 272 .....	50
---	----

## P.

<i>Page v. Levenson</i> , 281 Fed. 555 .....	22
--	----

<i>Peyrae v. Wilkinson</i> , (1924) 2 K. B. 166 .....	22
---	----

## R.

<i>Robertson v. Miller</i> , 266 U. S. 243.....14, 84, 85, 87, 88, 89, 91	
---	--

## S.

<i>Second Russian Insurance Co. v. Miller</i> , 297 Fed. 404...	35
---	----

<i>Simonoff v. Granite City Nat. Bank</i> , 279 Ill. 248.....	22
---	----

<i>Societe des Hotels v. Cummings</i> , (1921) 3 K. B. 459...	22
---	----

<i>Societe des Hotels v. Cummings</i> , (1922) 1 K. B. 451...	22
---	----

<i>Statham v. New York Life Ins. Co.</i> , 93 U. S. 24.....	37, 42
---	--------

<i>Stevenson &amp; Sons, Ltd. v. Aktiengesellschaft, etc.</i> , (1917) 1 K. B. 842 .....	86
---	----

<i>Stevenson &amp; Sons, Ltd. v. Aktiengesellschaft, etc.</i> , (1918) A. C. 239 .....	86, 87, 91
---	------------

<i>Sutherland v. Mayer</i> , 271 U. S. 272.....	22
---	----

## U.

<i>Uliendahl v. Pankhurst Wright &amp; Co.</i> , 39 Times L. R. 628 .....	22
--	----

<i>United States v. Chemical Foundation</i> , decided Oct. 11, 1926 .....	66
--	----

<i>United States v. Minnesota</i> , 270 U. S. 181.....	73
--	----

<i>United States v. Percheman</i> , 7 Peters 51.....	50, 81
--	--------

<i>United States v. Schooner Peggy</i> , 1 Cranch 103.....	50, 80
--	--------

## V.

<i>Venus, The</i> , 8 Cranch 253 .....	32
--	----

<i>Volturno, The, v. The Celia</i> , (1921) 2 A. C. 544, 20 A. L. R. 884 H. L. .....	22
---	----

## W.

	PAGE
<i>Ward v. Smith</i> , 7 Wall. 447 .....	85, 87, 88, 91
<i>Ware v. Hylton</i> , 3 Dall. 199 .....	50, 75, 96
<i>White v. Mechanics Securities Corp.</i> , 269 U. S. 283 .....	16, 67
<i>Whitney v. Robertson</i> , 124 U. S. 190 .....	50, 82
<i>Wichita Mill &amp; E. Co. v. Naamlooze, etc., Industrie</i> , 3 F. (2nd) 931 .....	22
<i>Williams v. Paine</i> , 169 U. S. 55 .....	32
<i>Wolff v. Oxhold</i> , 6 Maule & Selwyn 92 .....	97

## Z.

<i>Zimmermann, et al. v. Miller, et al.</i> , 2 Fed. (2nd) 629 .....	2
<i>Zimmermann, et al. v. Hicks, et al.</i> , 7 Fed. (2nd) 443 .....	1, 2, 60
<i>Zinc Corp., Ltd. v. Hirsch</i> , (1916) 1 K. B. 541 .....	41

## TABLE OF REFERENCES TO CONSTITUTION, TREATIES AND STATUTES CITED.

	PAGE
Agreement between United States and Austria and Hungary concerning claims, Nov. 26, 1924, United States Treaty Series No. 730 .....	82
Constitution of the United States, Art. VI. ....	50
Joint Resolution of Congress of July 2, 1921, 42 Stat. L. 105 .....	50, 51, 93
Judicial Code, Sec. 240, 36 Stat. L. 1157 .....	2
Trading With the Enemy Act, 40 Stat. L. 419, as amended .....	3, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17, 20, 22, 35, 47, 48, 49, 51, 52, 65, 82, 89, 93, 94, 95
Treaty of Peace between United States and Austria (Treaty of Vienna), signed August 24, 1921, United States Treaty Series No. 659, 42 Stat. L. 1946 .....	13, 44, 49-70, 82, 91, 93, 95
Treaty of St. Germain-en-Laye, portions adopted by Treaty of Vienna, United States Treaty Series No. 659 .....	50-70, 80, 92, 99, 100
Treaty of Peace between United States and Germany (Treaty of Berlin), signed Aug. 25, 1921, United States Treaty Series No. 658, 42 Stat. L. 1939....	64, 67
Treaty of Versailles, portions adopted by Treaty of Berlin, United States Treaty Series No. 658..	60, 63, 68, 99

## TABLE OF TEXT BOOKS CITED.

British Year Book of International Law, 1920-1921, Article "The Peace Treaty in its Effects on Private Property", by E. J. Schuster, p. 182.....	69
Meares' "Trading With the Enemy Act", Article "Force Majeure", by Hon. John Bassett Moore, pp. 461-463..	33
Williston, "Contracts", Vol. III, Sec. 1974 .....	42